Simulating Superconductors in AC Environment: Two Complementary COMSOL Models

Roberto Brambilla¹ and Francesco Grilli²

¹ ENEA - Ricerca sul Sistema Elettrico S.p.A., Milano, Italy ² Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

francesco.grilli@kit.edu

October 16, 2009

Motivation

- Finite-element models
 - 2-D & 1-D, two different approaches
 - Governing equations
 - Pros & Cons
 - Examples

Conclusion

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

-2

- High-temperature superconductors (HTS) very promising for power applications
- AC losses still too high
- Necessary to investigate loss dynamics
- What type of models?

白 ト イヨ ト イヨト

- Some simplifications can be made
- 2-D model enough in most cases
- Maxwell equations + non-linear resistivity

$$\blacktriangleright \rho(J) = \frac{E_c}{J_c} \left| \frac{J}{J_c} \right|^{n-1}$$

- Implemented in COMSOL's PDE General Form
- Magnetic field components as state variables
- Edge elements guarantee continuity of tangential field component across adjacent elements

Example of mesh and results in 2-D





回とくほとくほ

Limitations of 2-D model

- Second-generation HTS tapes
- 4-10 mm wide, 1 μ m thick
- Huge increase of DOFs
- Tapes modeled as 1-D objects
- Solve integral equation for J

$$\rho J(x,t) = \mu d \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-a}^{a} \dot{J}(\xi,t) \log |x-\xi| \mathrm{d}\xi + \int_{-a}^{x} \dot{H}_{ey}(\xi,t) \mathrm{d}\xi \right] + C(t)$$

YBCO coated conductor tapes and devices





イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

YBCO coated conductor tapes and devices



Use of COMSOL features in the 1-D model

$$\rho J(x,t) = \\ \mu d \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-a}^{a} \dot{J}(\xi,t) \log |x-\xi| \mathrm{d}\xi + \int_{-a}^{x} \dot{H}_{ey}(\xi,t) \mathrm{d}\xi \right] + C(t)$$

- Use of integral constraints to impose the total current $\int_{-a}^{a} J(x, t) dx = I(t)$
- Integrals transformed into Boundary Integral Coupling Variables (BICV) by using COMSOL's operator dest()
- Examples:

Examples of use of the 1-D model



・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト

< ∃⇒

3

1-D model for interacting tapes

- Useful for tape assemblies, such as cables, coils
- Magnetic field produced by one tape becomes 'source' for the others
- Electromagnetic interaction mediated by 2-D magnetostatic models



< 17 >

- 4 E k 4 E k

Two anti-parallel tapes with misalignment



- Main drawback: one magnetostatic model for each interaction
- Include interaction directly in the integral equations
- Feasible only for certain geometries

Integral equations for interacting tapes

► x-array
$$\rho J(x,t) = \frac{\mu d}{2\pi} \int_{-a}^{a} \dot{J}(\xi,t) \log \sin \frac{\pi |x-\xi|}{L} d\xi + C(t) = \mu dK_X(x,t) + C(t)$$

► 2-layer x-array

$$\rho J(x,t) = \mu d \left[K_X(x,t) \pm K_{2P}(x,t) \right] + C(t)$$

$$K_{2P}(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-a}^{a} \dot{J}(\xi) \log \left[\cosh(2\pi S/L) - \cos(2\pi (x-\xi)/L) \right] d\xi$$

-2

▶ < 문 ▶ < 문 ▶</p>



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲屋ト ▲屋ト

-1

Computing the magnetic field in the 1-D model

- Very simple in 2-D, B available from state variable H
- ▶ Not immediate in 1-D, B needs to be calculated from J

$$\blacktriangleright B_y(x) = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{J(\xi)}{\xi - x} \mathrm{d}\xi$$

- Must be computed as Cauchy Principal Value (CPV), due to singularity in ξ = x
- Lacking feature, look for alternative method

$$\blacktriangleright B_{y}(x) = \Re \left[\frac{\mu_{0}}{2\pi} \int_{-a}^{a} \frac{J(\xi)}{\xi - x + i\epsilon} \mathrm{d}\xi \right]$$

- Not mathematically rigorous, but ok for our purpose
- Useful for models with $J_c(B)$

Computing the magnetic field in the 1-D model



- Two complementary models for calculation of field/current distributions and ac losses
- Utilized for superconductors but useful for conductors of arbitrary resistivity
- 2-D model very flexible, but not practical for thin conductors
- I-D model very fast, ideal for design optimization
- Both exploit COMSOL features:
 - Edge elements in 2-D
 - Integral equations in 1-D
- Both utilized for simulating configurations of practical interest, see bibliography in the conference paper

向下 イヨト イヨト